

*Sam + Li*

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TONGA  
CRIMINAL JURISDICTION**

**NEIAFU REGISTRY**

**CR 224 & 225 of 2020**

---

**R E X            -V-    SAMISONI TU'I'ONETOA            (CR224/2020)**

**REX            -V-    SITIVENI POMALE MOALA            (CR225/2020)**

**BEFORE HON. JUSTICE NIU**

**Counsel            : Ms. T. Kafa for the Crown.  
                              Mr. T. Taufaeteau for both accused.**

**Trial                : at Neiafu, 8 – 11 March 2021.**

**Submissions        : by Mr. Taufaeteau filed 24 March 2021.  
                              : by Ms. Kafa filed 19 April 2021..**

**Verdict             : 28 May 2021.**

**VERDICT**

**Charges**

[1] The two accused are jointly indicted and they were jointly tried by consent and I refer to the accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, as the first accused and to the accused, Sitiveni Moala, as the second accused.

[2] The first accused is charged with 3 counts, namely, counts 1. to 3 of the indictment:

Count 1:        that on or about 15 February 2020 at 'Utui, he wilfully and without lawful justification caused

grievous harm to Lotolua Fisi'ihoi by hitting his head and causing a fracture to it with an iron rod, contrary to S.106(1) and (2)(a) of the Criminal Offences Act; or alternatively,

Count 2: that on or about 15 February 2020 at 'Utui, he wilfully and without lawful justification seriously caused bodily harm to Lotolua Fisi'ihoi by hitting his head and causing a fracture to it with an iron rod, contrary to S.107 (1), (2) (a) and (4) of the Criminal Offences Act, and

Count 3: that on or about 15 February 2020 at 'Utui, he wilfully and without lawful justification assaulted Halemoana Fisi'ihoi by punching his face, contrary to S.112 (c) of the Criminal Offences Act.

[3] The second accused is charged with one count only, namely, count 4 of the indictment:

Count 4: that on or about 15 February 2020 at 'Utui, he wilfully and without lawful justification assaulted Halemoana Fisi'ihoi by kicking him, contrary to S.112 (c) of the Criminal Offences Act.

### **The evidence**

[4] At the commencement of the trial, counsel informed me that they had agreed that the following evidence were agreed and were produced without contest:

(a) The record of interview of the first accused.

(b) The two medical reports of Dr. Mele'ana Hu'akau concerning

(i) Hale Fisi'ihoi; and

(ii) Lotolua Fisi'ihoi

[5] The Crown called 9 witnesses, 4 of whom were police officers and who produced the following as exhibits:

- (a) Exhibit 1 - a sketch plan of the area concerned.
- (b) Exhibit 2 - medical report of injury of Lotolua Fisi'ihoi.
- (c) Exhibit 3 - a book of photographs numbered from 1 to 21 of the area concerned.
- (d) Exhibit 4 - medical report of injury of Hale Fisi'ihoi.
- (e) Exhibit 5 - Record of interview and notice of charges of the second accused which were produced provisionally pending a ruling as to their admissibility in this judgement.
- (f) Exhibit 6 - Record of interview and notice of charges of the first accused, as admitted by consent.

[6] Both accused gave evidence and they called 1 witness.

[7] It emerged from the evidence that there has been a feud between 2 families in the village of 'Utui for some time. One family, the Tu'i'onetoas, live by and on the south side of the main road towards the west of the village, and the other family, the Fisi'ihois, live a little distance from and on the north side of the main road towards the east of the village. It was not explored why, how or when the feud began but it was still strong as was revealed in the event of the evening of 15 February 2020.

[8] In that evening, Lotolua Fisi'ihoi, 65 years old, and his young brother, Hale Fisi'ihoi, 55 years old, were drinking kava with other men in the FWC hall of the village. With them was Hale's oldest son, Pilimi. Hale's wife, Nenisi, was at home with the younger son, Sione Hoi (short for Sione Fisi'ihoi). Their other son, Lonitesi, was drinking beer with Lesili Tonga, Makisi Moimoi and Hanisi Lolo at Lesili Tonga's place, watching the super league final on Sky television.

- [9] Lesili Tonga's place is next door and to the west of Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa's, place, and Sione Ovaleni's place is next door to Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa's place, all facing the main road. About a hundred meters from Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa's place was the FWC field on the other side of the main road at the back of which was the FWC hall where the kava drinking was held.
- [10] Sometimes between 10 and 11 pm that night, Hanisi Lolo became too drunk and Lesili Tonga told Lonitesi to take him home in his, Lesili's van. Lonitesi drove and took Hanisi, but he had to use a road which came from the main road beside Lesili's place and go around to Makave and come back to the east end of 'Utui and dropped Hanisi off at his place which was on the south side of the main road as well but a little distance to the back. The reason he had to go the long and roundabout way was because he did not want the Tu'i'onetoas to know that he was at Lesili's place. After dropping Hanisi off, he made the mistake of driving down the main road to return to Lesili's place.
- [11] He was stopped by Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa and his two sons, Takilesi and Samisoni in front of their place. Sione Ovaleni asked him why he was in their area. At the same time, Samisoni opened his door. Lonitesi put his foot down and the van ran off and he turned into Lesili's place next door. The 3 men followed him there and he had to drive out of Lesili's place in the same way he had taken to take Hanisi home but went through a back road to Makisi Moimoi's place and got him to come with him. He then drove to his home and got his brother Sione Hoi as well. Their mother Nenisi called to them to come back but they did not. She followed them on foot. They went and stopped the van in the FWC field outside the FWC hall and called Pilimi to join them. Lonitesi told him what the Tu'i'onetoas had done to him. Sione Hoi went out onto the field and shouted to the Tu'i'onetoas to come and fight.
- [12] Both Hale and his wife Nenisi tried to stop their sons, but they could not. Hale's older brother, Lotolua went out as well. About a minute or so after the challenge was made, the Tu'i'onetoas

appeared on the main road. They were carrying with them metal pipes, sticks and stones. There were Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa and his sons, Samisoni, Takilesi, Nepote and 'Aiveni, and a relative of his named Sitiveni Moala. There were street lights on.

[13] A man named Sione Moimoi was at the kava drinking. His sister is married to Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa, brother of Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa. He went out as well and together with Hale Fisi'ihoi they went and held Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa, the father, and begged him to stop and to tell his children to stop but he said to Sione Moimoi: "You go away. I have talked with my children that we would go to prison but tonight we are going to kill Sione Hoi", meaning Hale's son, Sione Fisi'ihoi, who had shouted the challenge. Sione Moimoi and Hale could not hold Sione Ovaleni and he went into the fight.

[14] Sione Moimoi said that as he was walking back, he just happened to see Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa hit Lotolua Fisi'ihoi, who had also gone out to stop the fight, with a metal pipe, on his head and Lotolua fell on the road, and that Samisoni hit him again twice on his head with the pipe. He said that he called out "Eeiii" and Samisoni ran off with the pipe to the fight. He said he went and found Lotolua unconscious and dragged him into the shadows to prevent further injury to him.

[15] Hale Fisi'ihoi said that he went to Sione Ovaleni and asked him to stop his children but that he said that he and his children were going to kill his son Sione Hoi and then they would go to jail. He said he also went to Sione Ovaleni's brother Lisiate and asked him to stop his brother's children and Lisiate did go and shout to the children to stop what they were doing because it was shameful but they took no notice, and that Lisiate had to go back because he was tiring as he was asthmatic. He said that he also went and spoke to Noli Moala to stop his children and for Noli Moala to stop joining the fight instead as it was shameful of him to do so, but that Noli Moala just told him to go away. He said he

then went and stood by the FWC Minister's fence and rested as he was tired.

[16] He said that 4 boys then came at him, namely, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa and Sitiveni Moala (son of Noli Moala), Takilesi and Nepote Tu'i'onetoa. He said that Samisoni came and punched him on the face and he fell down and that they then kicked him on his ribs and face.

[17] Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa (the first accused) said in his evidence that he did not hit Lotolua Fisi'ihoi at all, let alone with a metal pipe, as Sione Moimoi has said he did in his evidence. He also said that he did punch Hale Fisi'ihoi but it was because Hale was holding him and was trying to throw him down, and that he only punched Hale in self defence because he also saw one of their boys with a machete and that when Hale fell down he then ran away from there.

[18] Sitiveni Moala (the second accused) said in his evidence that he was not with Samisoni at all when Samisoni punched Hale and that he did not kick Hale while he was on the ground either.

### **Defences**

[19] The defence raised by Mr. Taufateau for Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa is that the evidence of Sione Moimoi was not supported by the evidence of the other witnesses for the Crown as to the place where Lotolua was struck and fell on the road, and also because he, Sione Moimoi, also denied what the police had written down in his statement, and which he signed. He also submitted that Samisoni only acted in self defence when he punched Hale Fisi'ihoi because he was trying to throw him down and because Hale's son, Sione Hoi, was coming up with a machete. He therefore punched Hale down and then ran off.

[20] As for Sitiveni Moala, Mr. Taufateau submits that he never did anything to Hale Fisi'ihoi and that he only told the police that he and the others kicked and punched Hale Fisi'ihoi (after Samisoni

Tu'í'onetoa punched Hale down) because the police officer told him that his father, (Noli Moala), had said for him to hurry up and confess so that his matter be expedited for him to go overseas on fruit picking. He submits that that was ground for the Court to hold that the confession that Sitiveni made be excluded.

### **Crown submissions**

- [21] Ms. Kafa for the Crown submitted that although Lotolua Fisi'ihoi appeared to indicate a different place (where he was struck and fell) from the place where Sione Moimoi said that he was struck and fell, and although he thought that the motor vehicle was facing almost eastwards whereas all other witnesses said that it was facing almost westwards, that did not detract from what Sione Moimoi said he saw the first defendant do to Lotolua Fisi'ihoi, because it was clear that Lotolua was wrong about his recollection.
- [22] She says that Sione Moimoi had no reason to lie that he saw the first accused strike Lotolua with the metal pipe, especially when his sister is married to the first accused's uncle. It would be more likely that he would say that he did not see the first accused strike Lotolua with the pipe, but he did not do that.
- [23] She also says that Lisiate Tu'í'onetoa, should not be believed when he said that he had seen and asked Sione Moimoi that night if he had seen who had hit Lotolua and that Sione Moimoi told him that he had not, and also when he said that he asked Sione Moimoi about a week later if he knew who had hit Lotolua and that he replied that he did not. She says that if Sione Moimoi had in fact told him that he did not know who had hit Lotolua, Lisiate should have gone and told the police that when the first accused was charged with the present charge but he did not.
- [24] She says that the defence which the first accused now raises as to why he punched Hale Fisi'ihoi, namely, self defence, should not be accepted because he has only now raised it in his evidence,

when he never said anything to that effect when questioned by the police and recorded in the record of interview.

[25] As to the defence of the second accused that his confession be excluded because it was obtained as a result of force exerted upon him by officer Hala'ufia, she says that the second accused clearly stated in his evidence the order in which he was questioned and that he did not state that he was so forced as he now claims. She says that the second accused admitted in his answers to the questions that he did kick Hale Fisi'ihoi while Hale was lying on the ground. So he is guilty of the offence of assault with which he is charged in this trial.

### **Considerations**

[26] I have to consider each of the 4 counts of charges separately.

#### **Count 1: causing grievous bodily harm**

Only the first accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, is charged with this offence, and the only evidence Ms Kafa points to as proof is the evidence of Sione Moimoi.

[27] It is not disputed by Mr Taufateau and I am satisfied that the injury suffered by Lotolua Fisi'ihoi was a grievous bodily harm for the purposes of S.106 of the Criminal Offences Act. What he disputes is the evidence of Sione Moimoi and he says that it should not be believed because –

- a) where he said Lotolua was struck and fell in relation to the motor vehicle on the road was greatly different from the evidence of the other witnesses as to where the vehicle was;
- b) he denied that he told the police what was written on his statement; and
- c) he told the witness Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa that night and also a week later that he did not know who had hit Lotolua.

I will deal with those 3 points.

### **Place where struck**

- [28] I am not sure what Mr Taufateau meant by this point of his submission because he does not say which witness said what in relation to where the vehicle was when Lotolua was struck. The only witness who said anything about that was Lotolua himself, but I am satisfied that he was wrong about where he said he was when he was struck because he was even wrong about where was east and where was west and where he thought the vehicle was facing at the time. I agree with and I accept the evidence of Sione Moimoi as to where he said Lotolua was when he was struck and fell down.

### **Denial of what he told the police**

- [29] I am afraid that Mr Taufateau is mistaken as to what it was that Sione Moimoi denied in his evidence. What I understood Sione Moimoi to have said in his evidence under cross-examination was that when the police officer was writing down his statement, he told the police that he saw Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa strike Lotolua Fisi'ihoi on the head with a metal pipe and that Lotolua fell down on the road and that Samisoni stepped up and struck him again twice to the head with the pipe. What the police wrote down instead was:

“Before I got to where the fighting was properly, Lotolua fell down on the road and I saw it was Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa who had hit him with something which I was not sure whether it was a piece of timber or a piece of pipe that he hit Lotolua with on the head of Lotolua Fisi'ihoi that he fell down and hit his head on the road, and he hit downwards twice the upper part of Lotolua whilst he was lying there.”

He said that he did not tell the police officer that he was not sure whether it was a piece of timber or a piece of pipe and that he told the police officer that it was a piece of pipe. He did not say that he did not tell the officer any of the things which were stated

in the above stated quote. What I understood him to say was that it was only the part which stated that he was not sure whether it was a piece of timber or a piece of pipe that he did not say to the officer.

- [30] I am therefore not satisfied, and I do not find, that Sione Moimoi denied what he had told the police officer and which the officer wrote down in his statement, except the part I have referred to. I am satisfied that Sione Moimoi's evidence is that he saw Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa strike Lotolua's head with a piece of pipe, and that after Lotolua fell on the road, Samisoni again hit his head twice with the piece of pipe, and that he did not change that evidence despite Mr Taufateau's cross-examination.

### **Evidence of Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa**

- [31] It is true that the witness, Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa, said that he asked Sione Moimoi that night who had hit Lotolua and that Sione Moimoi told him that he did not know, and that he said that he asked him the same thing about a week later and that Sione Moimoi again told him that he did not know. It is also true that Mr Taufateau did put these two pieces of evidence, which Lisiate was to give, to Sione Moimoi in cross-examination, but Sione Moimoi denied that Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa had asked him such question either that night or about a week later.
- [32] In the cross-examination of Sione Moimoi, it was put to him that his statement was written by the police officer on 20 February 2020 and Sione Moimoi agreed. I have to accept that that was so. Both the first and second accused were questioned in the morning of 24 February 2020. No doubt, the first accused was arrested and charged as a result of the statement of Sione Moimoi, but curiously, the officer never questioned the first accused about the causing of the injuries of Lotolua, despite what Sione Moimoi had said in his statement on 20 February 2020. The officer did not even charge Samisoni with it on 24 February 2020.

[33] The incident happened on the night of 15 February. A week later would be the 22<sup>nd</sup> or so of February. Neither the first nor the second accused had been arrested by then. They were only arrested on the day they were interviewed and charged, namely 24 February.

[34] I am therefore at a loss as to why Lisiate would have asked Sione Moimoi a week later who would have struck Lotolua.

[35] I am also curious as to his own evidence that his family called out to him that night to come back inside the house in case he would be injured (if he stayed out) and he then said that as he was backing out to go home, he met Sione Moimoi and Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa and that he also noticed someone lying on the ground and that he could not make out who it was, and he said that he asked Sione Moimoi who had hit that person and that Sione Moimoi replied that he did not know.

[36] What I note about that evidence of Lisiate was that he included Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa as being present when he purported to ask Sione Moimoi and that Sione Moimoi said that he did not know who had hit Lotolua in order to back up his own story. That was alluded to by Mr Taufateau, when he put to Sione Moimoi in cross-examination, as follows:

– “Did you see for sure Samisoni striking Lotolua with the pipe?

Yes. It is the truth. I saw it.

– Did Sione Ovaleni not come over to you where Lotolua was lying on the ground?

No.

– Did not Sione Ovaleni and Lisiate Tu'i'onetoa ask you who was lying on the ground?

No.

– Did you not tell them it was Lotolua?

No.

- Did not Lisiate ask you who had hit Lotolua?

No.

- Did you not tell Lisiate that you did not know?

No.”

[37] However, Sione Ovaleni Tu’i’onetoa, was not called as a witness and he did not give evidence at all. I am therefore left with the evidence of Lisiate alone which has not shaken Sione Moimoi’s evidence that Lisiate had not spoken to him that night and that he had not asked him anything about who had hit Lotolua that night or a week afterwards.

[38] What persuades me most that Sione Moimoi is telling the truth is his evidence that there were 3 strokes delivered by Samisoni to Lotolua’s head and that is because the injuries which the doctor described which happened to Lotolua’s head clearly showed that there had to have been more than one stroke to his head to have caused the –

1. hairline fracture of the mastoid extending to the left parietal.
2. Two small intracranial haemorrhages:
  - one in the right front parietal region, and
  - one in the left paraventricular region.
3. Soft tissue injury involving small scalp laceration and left external canal injury.

One stroke with the piece of pipe could not reasonably have caused the right front parietal region haemorrhage and also the left parietal region haemorrhage because they are on the opposite sides of the head.

[39] When Sione Moimoi made his statement to the police on 20 February 2020, the doctor had not written out his report as yet. It was only done on 25 March 2020. He therefore could not have known that the injuries would reveal that there were likely to be more than one stroke which had been delivered.

[40] Accordingly, I am satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the first accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, did wilfully and without lawful justification cause grievous bodily harm to Lotolua Fisi'ihoi by hitting his head and causing hairline fracture to his skull with a metal pipe although it is described in the charge as an iron rod.

### **Count 2: Serious causing bodily harm**

[41] Because, I have found the first accused guilty of count 1, and because count 2 is only charged in the alternative, I dismiss the charge in count 2.

### **Court 3: Assault**

[42] The first accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, is charged that he wilfully and unlawfully, that is, without lawful justification, punched Halemoana Fisi'ihoi in his face without his consent.

[43] Halemoana Fisi'ihoi, also called Hale Fisi'ihoi, said in his evidence that he was tired from trying to stop the fight and he went and stood by the FWC Minister's fence and rested. While he was standing there he saw 4 people coming towards him and one of them, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, punched him on the left cheek and he fell down and that the other 3, that is Sitiveni Moala and Nepote Tu'i'onetoa and Takilesi Tu'i'onetoa, all kicked him while he was on the ground. The kicks landed on his ribs, face and jaws.

[44] The doctor stated in her report that Hale "sustained an injury to his left eye causing periorbital swelling and sub conjunctival haemorrhage, periorbital swelling and bruising extends down to involve his left upper cheek bone ... Also had a small bruising and swelling over his left lower back". I am satisfied that those

injuries were consistent with what Hale said the 4 boys did to him and they prove that he was so hit and kicked as he had described in his evidence.

[45] It also proved that he was punched on his left cheek as he said Samisoni did. And Samisoni admitted in his record of interview that he did punch Hale and that Hale fell down as a result but he said he was not sure where on Hale his punch had landed.

[46] I do not believe Samisoni's claim that he punched Hale in self defence, namely, because Hale had grabbed and held him and that he, Samisoni, saw that one of Hale's sons appeared and was carrying a machete, and that he punched Hale so that he could escape and that he ran off after Hale fell down. I believe Hale's evidence that he saw Samisoni and the 3 boys coming towards him. Samisoni was not there on his own and he did not punch Hale in self-defence at all. Hale's evidence is supported by the report of the doctor. No evidence supports Samisoni's evidence of the self defence he claims.

[47] Accordingly, I find and I am satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the first accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, wilfully and without lawful justification, punched Hale Moana Fisi'ihoi in his face without his consent, and that he is guilty of the offence in count 3.

#### **Count 4: Sitiveni Moala**

[48] Count 4 of the indictment is that the second accused, Sitiveni Moala, wilfully and without lawful justification assaulted Halemoana Fisi'ihoi when he kicked him without his consent.

[49] Hale Fisi'ihoi, who is Halemoana Fisi'ihoi, said in his evidence that the second accused, Sitiveni Moala, was one of the 4 boys who came to him, and that after he was punched on his left cheek by Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, he fell to the ground and that he was kicked by the other 3 boys on his face, ribs and jaws. The medical report confirmed that there were bruises on his ribs and face and even

on his lower back, and that his injuries were consistent with the history of physical assault by a group of men as she was told had happened to him.

[50] I accept that it was Hale himself who had told the doctor that history. He would not have told the doctor that if he had blacked out upon the punch delivered by Samisoni to his cheek, and no other witness had seen what the 3 boys did to him. It is true that he had stated in his evidence in cross-examination that he knew no more after he was punched by Samisoni but he said that he still knew, after he was punched, that the 3 boys, beside Samisoni, all kicked him while he was on the ground. I believe him and I accept that he still knew he was being kicked by all the 3 boys. Sitiveni Moala was one of those 3 boys who were kicking him.

[51] When Sitiveni was questioned by police officer, Hala'ufia, he said (in answer to questions 15 & 17) as follows:

“15. Then what happened after that?

We came back just as Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa punched Hale Fisi'ihoi by the fence of the Wesleyan Church and he fell down and we all went over there and we kicked and punched him, that is I kicked him on the shoulder but I did not know where on Hale they kicked and punched, but I did not know if any of their punches or kicks hit him, when Sione Hoi Fisi'ihoi appeared with a machete and we all ran to where Sione Ovaleni Tu'i'onetoa was lying.

...

17. Sitiveni Pomale Moala, why did you join in kicking Hale Fisi'ihoi? Because I thought he was involved in the fight and I just joined the attack which the Tu'i'onetoa boys were doing.”

[52] Sitiveni said in his evidence, when I asked him, that all his answers to the questions were true and correct but that his

answer to question 15 was not true. He said that he was lying when he said what he said in answer to question 15. He said that he was about 20 meters away from where Samisoni punched Hale down, and that Samisoni then ran over to where he was. He said that he told the police that he had kicked Hale while he was on the ground because he did not think clearly ("hamumu").

[53] Mr. Taufaeteau has submitted that that answer to question 15 of Sitiveni be excluded from the evidence because it was made as a result of inducement and promise made by the police officer that if he confessed, his matter would be expedited so that he could still travel on the fruit picking job overseas, and that the officer told him to hurry up and confess because his father, Noli Moala, had said for him to do so in order that he would still be able to go on the fruit picking job.

[54] The officer Hala'ufia denied in his evidence that he had said such things to the second accused.

[55] I believe the officer and I do not believe the evidence of the second accused at all. I am satisfied that the officer made no inducement, threat or promise to cause the accused to say what he said in answer to the questions he was asked.

[56] Accordingly, I am satisfied beyond reasonable doubt that the second accused, Sitiveni Moala, wilfully and without lawful justification kicked Halemoana Fisi'ihoi without his consent.

### **Verdicts**

[57] I therefore find and I am satisfied that the Crown has proved the charges which it has brought against the two accused beyond reasonable doubt. I therefore find that they are guilty as follows:

(a) The first accused, Samisoni Tu'i'onetoa, is guilty and he is convicted of:

**(i) Count 1:** causing grievous bodily harm to Lotolua Fisi'ihoi at 'Utui on 15 February 2020 when

he wilfully and without lawful justification hit his head with an iron rod causing a fracture to his head, contrary to S.106 (1) and 2 (a) of the Criminal Offences Act.

**(ii) Count 3:** assaulting Halemoana Fisi'ihoi at 'Utui on 15 February 2020 when he wilfully and without lawful justification punched his face without his consent, contrary to S.112 (c) of the Criminal Offences Act.

(b) The second accused, Sitiveni Moala, is guilty and he is convicted of:

**Count 4:** assaulting Halemoana Fisi'ihoi at 'Utui on 15 February 2020 when he kicked him without his consent, contrary to S.112 (c) of the Criminal Offences Act.



A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Niu J", is written over the seal.

Niu J

**J U D G E**

**NEIAFU: 28 May 2021.**