

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF TONGA

PRACTICE DIRECTION No.2 of 2007

DIVORCE RULES 2007

- [1] Unfortunately, there is a typographical error and omission on page 189 of the new rules (page 217 in the Tongan Version).
- [2] The word "was" in the final sentence of the NOTICE TO THE RESPONDENT (AND CO-RESPONDENT) should read "is".
- [3] Immediately after the final sentence of the same notice there should be provision for the signature of the Registrar of the Supreme Court and the affixing of the Court seal.
- [4] In other words, there is no change to the form of petition and notice which has been used in the past.



HON. A D FORD
Chief Justice
3 July 2007